



MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART
NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS - 1963 - A

ı



UNITED STATES ARMY ENVIRONMENTAL HYGIENE AGENCY

ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND, MD 21010

TOPICAL HAZARD EVALUATION PROGRAM OF

OTIC FILE COPY

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

83 08 18 032

UNCLASSIFIED
SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE	READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM	
	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER	
75-51-0335/0339-0342-83 AD-A131	5-15	
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) Topical Hazard Evaluation Program of	5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED	
Candidate Insect Repellents AI3-38305a, AI3-38306a, AI3-38313a, AI3-38314a, and AI3-38315, US Depart-	Final, July 1981 - April 1983	
ment of Agriculture Proprietary Chemicals, Study No	5. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER	
75-51-0335/0339-0342-83. July 1981 - April 1983 7. AUTHOR(a)	8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(e)	
John V. Wade, CPT, VC	S. CONTRACT ON SHART HOMESTA	
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS COMMANDER	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS	
US Army Environmental Hygiene Agency Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21010		
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS	12. REPORT DATE	
Commander	July 1981 - April 1983	
US Army Health Services Command Ft Sam Houston, TX 78234	13. NUMBER OF PAGES	
FT SAM HOUSTON, TX /8234 14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS/II different from Controlling Office)	15. SECURITY CLASS, (of this report)	
Te. MUNITURING NORMS I NAME & NOVILLOGIS STORMS INC.	10. 36301111 321331 (11 111 112 112)	
	Unclassified	
	15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE	
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abetract entered in Block 20, If different from Report)		
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identity by block number)		
AI3-38305a Eye Irritation		
AI3-38306a Skin Irritation		
AI3-38313a Topical Hazard Evaluation Program AI3-38314a USDA Proprietary Chemicals		
AI3-38315a		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse of the H recessary and Identify by block number) Chemical AI3-38305a was noninjurious to the skin of 38306a, AI3-38313a, AI3-38314a, and AI3-38315a produ of the intact skin and the skin surrounding an abras produced severe injury to the cornea and the conjunc AI3-38313a, AI3-38314a, and AI3-38315a produced mode	uced mild primary irritation sion. Chemical AI3-38305a ctiva. Chemicals AI3-38306a erate injury to the cornea	
and, in addition, produced some injury to the conjunctiva.		

DD 1 JAN 73 1473 EDITION OF 1 NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE

1.

UNCLASSIFIED
SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date Entered)



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY

U.S. ARMY ENVIRONMENTAL HYGIENE AGENCY ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND, MARYLAND 21010

CPT Wade/orl/AUTOVON

584-3627

HSHB-OT/WP

1 6 AUG 1960

SUBJECT: Topical Hazard Evaluation Program of Candidate Insect Repellents A13-38305-a, A13-38306a, A13-38313a, A13-38314a, and A13-38315a, US Department of Agriculture Proprietary Chemicals, Study Nos. 75-51-0335-83 and 75-51-0339-83 thru 75-51-0342-83, July 1981 -

April 1983.

Executive Secretary Armed Forces Pest Management Board Forest Glen Section, WRAMC Washington, DC 20307

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The purpose, essential findings and recommendations of the inclosed report are as follows:

- a. Purpose. The purpose of this program is to provide guidance for further entomological testing of the candidate insect repellents A13-38305a, A13-38306a, A13-38313a, A13-38314a, and A13-38315a, by means of laboratory animal studies using New Zealand White rabbits.
- b. Essential Findings. Chemical Al3-38305a was noninjurious to the skin of rabbits. Chemicals Al3-38306a, Al3-38313a, Al3-38314a, and Al3-38315a produced mild primary irritation of the intact skin and the skin surrounding an abrasion. Chemical A13-38305a produced severe injury to the cornea and the conjunctiva. Chemicals A13-38306a, A13-38313a, A13-38314a, and A13-3815a produced moderate injury to the cornea and, in addition, produced some injury to the conjunctiva.
- c. Major Recommendations. Recommend these chemicals be disapproved for further testing in their present form. If their insect repellent properties are distinctly superior to M-DET, based on a favorable response to skin irritation studies, recommend that these chemicals be purified and resubmitted.

FOR THE COMMANDER:

1 Incl as (5 cy)

JDEL C. GAYDOS Colonel, MC Director, Occupational and Environmental Health

CF: HQDA (DASG-PSP) wo incl Cdr. HSC (HSPA-P) Comat, AHS (HSHA-IPM) Dir, Advisory Cen on Tox, NRC USDA, ARS (Dr. Terrence McGovern)

USDA, ARS-Southern Region (3 cy) USDA, ARS-Southern Region (LTC Reinert)

1,



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY U. S. ARMY ENVIRONMENTAL HYGIENE AGENCY ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND, MARYLAND 21010

REPLY TO ATTENTION OF

HSHB-OT/WP

TOPICAL HAZARD EVALUATION PROGRAM OF

1. AUTHORITY.

- a. Letter, US Department of Agriculture Agricultural Research, Southern Region, Insects Affecting Man and Animals Research Laboratory, Gainsville, Florida, 18 June 1981.
- b. Letter, US Department of Agriculture Agricultural Research Southern Region, Insects Affecting Man and Animals Research Laboratory, Gainsville. Florida, 3 September 1981.
- c. Memorandum of Understanding between the US Army Environmental Hygiene Agency; the US Army Health Services Command; the Department of the Army, Office of The Surgeon General; the Armed Forces Pest Control Board; and the US Department of Agricultrue, Agricultural Research, Science and Education Administrations; titled, Coordination of Biological and Toxicological Testing of Pesticides, effective 23 January 1979.
- 2. REFERENCE. Toxicology Division Standing Operating Procedures, US Army Environmental Hygiene Agency (USAEHA) 1981.
- 3. PURPOSE. The purpose of this program is to provide guidance for further entomological testing of the candidate insect repellents Al3-38305a, Al3-38306a, Al3-38313a, Al3-38314a, and Al3-38315a, US Department of Agriculture (USDA) Proprietary Chemicals.
- 4. SUMMARY OF FINDINGS. Hazard evaluations of the candidate repellents AI3-38305a, AI3-38306a, AI3-38313a, AI3-38314a, and AI3-38315a, USDA Proprietary Chemicals were conducted by this Agency using New Zealand White rabbits for skin and eye studies. A tabular presentation of animal toxicity data developed in this Agency follows:*t

Approved for public release, distribution unlimited.

^{*} In conducting the studies described in this report, the investigators adhered to the "Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals," US Department of Health, Education and Welfare Publication No. (NIH) 80-23 revised 1978.

t The studies reported herein were performed in animal facilities fully accredited by the American Association for the Accreditation of Laboratory Animal Care.

Study Nos. 75-51-0335-83 and 75-51-0339-83 thru 75-51-0342-83, Jul 81 - Apr 83

TABLE. PRESENTATION OF DATA

TEST	RESULTS	INTERPRETATION
SKIN IRRITATION STUDIES		
Rabbits		
Single 24-hour application to intact and abraded skin of New Zealand White rabbits.	Chemical A13-38305a, did not produce skin irritation.	USAEHA Category I (ref Appendix A)
0.5 mL technical grade chemical applied to each of six rabbits.	Chemicals A13-38306a, A13-38313a, A13-38314a, and A13-38315a produced mild primary irritation of the intact skin and of the skin surrounding an abrasion.	USAEHA Category II (ref Appendix A)
EYE IRRITATION STUDIES		
Rabbits		
Single 24-hour application of 0.1 mL technical grade chemical to one eye of each of nine New Zealand White rabbits. Three of	Chemical Al3-38305a produced severe injury to the cornea and the conjunctiva.	USAEHA Category F (ref Appendix A)
the nine rabbits had the eye flushed with warm water for 1 minute, 25 seconds after application.	Chemicals A13-38306a, A13-38313a, A13-38314a and A13-38315a produced moderate injury to the cornea and, in addition, produced some injury to the conjunctiva.	USAEHA Category E (ref Appendix A)

^{5.} CONCLUSION. The USDA Proprietary Chemical A13-38305a did not produce skin irritation. Chemicals A13-38306a, A13-38313a, A13-38314a, and A13-38315a produced mild primary irritation of the intact skin and of the skin surrounding an abrasion. Chemical A13-38305a produced severe injury to the cornea and the conjunctiva. Chemicals A13-38306a, A13-38313a, A13-38314a, and A13-38315a produced moderate injury to the cornea and, in

Study Nos. 75-51-0335-83 and 75-51-0339-83 thru 75-51-0342-83, Jul 81 - Apr 83

addition, produced some injury to the conjunctiva. These studies were monitored by Analytical Quality Assurance Officer (see Appendix B).

6. RECOMMENDATION. Recommend these chemicals be disapproved for further testing in their present form. If their insect repellent properties are distinctly superior to M-DET, based on a favorable response to skin irritation studies, recommend that these chemicals be purified and resubmitted (Memorandum of Understanding, paragraph 1c, this report).

JOHN V. WADE, DVM

Mr. Wad Dum

CPT, VC

Laboratory Animal Veterinary Officer

Toxicology Division

APPROVED BY:

TIMOTHY B WEYANDT, M.D., M.P.H.

MAJ, MC

b,

Acting Chief, Toxicology Division

Study Nos. 75-51-0335-83 and 75-51-0339-83 thru 75-51-0342-83, Jul 81 - Apr 83

APPENDIX A

TOPICAL HAZARD EVALUATION PROGRAM DEFINITIONS OF CATEGORIES OF COMPOUNDS BEING CONSIDERED FOR ACUTE SKIN APPLICATION

<u>CATEGORY I</u> - Compounds producing no primary irritation of the intact skin or no greater than mild primary irritation of the skin surrounding an abrasion. (INTERPRETATION: No restriction for acute application to the human skin.)

CATEGORY II - Compounds producing mild primary irritation of the intact skin and the skin surrounding an abrasion. (INTERPRETATION: Should be used only on human skin found by examination to have no abrasions or may be used as a clothing impregnant.)

<u>CATEGORY III</u> - Compounds producing moderate primary irritation of the intact skin and the skin surrounding an abrasion. (INTERPRETATION: Should not be used directly on the skin without a prophetic patch test having been conducted on humans to determine irritation potential to human skin. May be used without patch testing, with extreme caution, as clothing impregnants. Compound should be resubmitted in the form and at the intended use concentration so that its irritation potential can be reexamined using other test techniques on animals.)

<u>CATEGORY IV</u> - Compounds producing moderate to severe primary irritation of the intact skin and of the skin surrounding an abrasion and, in addition, producing necrosis, vesiculation, and/or eschars. (INTERPRETATION: Should be resubmitted for testing in the form and at the intended use concentration. Upon resubmission, its irritation potential will be reexamined using other test techniques on animals, prior to possible prophetic patch testing in humans, at concentrations which have been shown not to produce primary irritation in animals.)

<u>CATEGORY V</u> - Compounds impossible to classify because of staining of the skin or other masking effects owing to physical properties of the compound. (INTERPRETATION: Not suitable for use on humans.)

EYE CATEGORIES:

1.

- A. <u>Compounds noninjurious to the eye</u>. INTERPRETATION: Irritation of human eyes is not expected if the compound should accidentally get into the eyes, provided it is washed out as soon as possible.
- B. <u>Compounds producing mild injury to the cornea</u>. INTERPRETATION: Should be used with caution around the eyes.
- C. <u>Compounds producing mild injury to the cornea, and in addition some injury to the conjunctiva</u>. INTERPRETATION: Should be used with caution around the eyes and mucosa.
- D. <u>Compounds producing moderate injury to the cornea</u>. INTERPRETATION: Should be used with extreme caution around the eyes.
- E. Compounds producing moderate injury to the cornea, and in addition producing some injury to the conjunctiva. INTERPRETATION: Should be used with extreme caution around the eyes and mucosa.
- F. Compounds producing severe injury to the cornea and to the conjunctiva. INTERPRETATION: Should be used with extreme caution. It is recommended that use be restricted to areas other than the face.

APPENDIX B

ANALYTICAL QUALITY ASSURANCE

The Analytical Quality Assurance Office certifies the following with regard to this study:

- a. This study was conducted in accordance with:
- (1) Standing Operating Procedures developed by the Toxicology Division, USAEHA.
- (2) Title 21, Code of Federal Regulations, 1981 rev, Part 58, Good Laboratory Practice for Nonclinical Laboratory Studies.
- b. Facilities were inspected during its operational phase to insure compliance with paragraph a above.
- c. The information presented in this report accurately reflects the raw data generated during the course of conducting the study.

PAUL V. SNEERINGER, Ph.D. Chief, Analytical Quality

Assurance Office

1,

END DATE FILMED

79—83 DTIC